

An Overview of the Gas Diffusion Layer in Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells: How Its Nano-structural Characteristics Affect Performance

^{*1}Gamze Karanfil Celep and ²Kevser Dincer

*1Faculty of Engineering, Department of Energy Systems Engineering, Karamanoglu Mehmetbey University, Turkey
2Faculty of Engineering, Department of MechanicalEngineering, Selcuk University, Turkey

Abstract

Gas diffusion layer (GDL) is one of the critical components performing both as the operational as well as the support structure to membrane-electrode assembly (MEA) in the proton exchange membrane (PEM) fuel cell. The role of the GDL is very important in the PEM fuel cell to render it commercially applicable. Structural variations in the GDL can effect physico-chemical and mechanical properties and eventually power performance of the fuel cell. The optimization of the functional features of the GDL is possible just with comprehension the role of its key parameters such as structure, hydrophobicity/hydrophilicity, porosity, gas permeability, electrical conductivity. This paper presents an overview of effects of using nanosize materials to modify GDL structure. In addition, future prospects for new GDL developments are discussed.

Key words: Gas diffusion layer, Proton exchange membrane fuel cell, Nano-structure

1. Introduction

Due to the growing worries on the exhaustion of fossil based energy resources and climate change, fuel cell technologies have attacted much attention in recent years owing to their high efficiencies and low emissions [1]. Fuel cells are static energy conversion devices that convert chemical energy stored in fuels such as hydrogen directly into electrical energy [2]. Fuel cells are divergent from conventional electro-chemical batteries, rather than repose on static storages of chemicals that must be recharged or renewed at certain intervals, fuel cells necessitate a flow of chemical such as hydrogen, oxygen or air that react in the entity of catalysts. Electricity is generated through the reaction and carried to an external circuit. Fuel cells theoretically can work continuously as long as essential reactants are available [3].

There are various types of fuel cells that identified in the literature such as proton exchange membrane (PEM) fuel cells, alkaline fuel cells, phosphoric acid fuel cells, molten carbonate fuel cells and solid oxide fuel cells. Among them, the PEM fuel cells are attracting significant interest as alternative power sources for several applications due to their higher power densities, lower temperature of operation and effectual environmental profits [4, 5]. However, the power density values are decreased due to mass transport limitations especially at the cathode and its high current density performance is substantially limited by transport of reactants and products [5]. Gas diffusion layer (GDL) is one of the critical components of the PEM fuel cell which main functions are carrying of the reactant gas from flow channels to catalyst layer effectually, conducting electrons with low resistance, pouring liquid water from catalyst layer to flow

^{*}Corresponding author: Address: Faculty of Engineering, Department of Energy Systems Engineering, Karamanoglu Mehmetbey University, 70100, TURKEY. E-mail address: gamzekaranfil@kmu.edu.tr, Phone: +903382265052

channels and keeping the proton conducting membrane in wet condition at low humudity [6]. Therefore, GDL modification has been paid much attention for the improvement of the physical properties and performance of PEM fuel cells. Generally, a typical GDL is fabricated by coating a macro-porous layer with hydrophobic micro-porous layer. In addition to macro-micro porous layers, nanostructured GDL attracts researchers' attention due to enabling fast electron transfer and high electrocatalitic activity, having properties like high electrical conductivity, high specific surface area and good stability [7].

The GDL is manufactured by using commercially available carbon cloth (woven) or carbon paper (non-woven) made of carbon fiber as macro-porous layer. Carbon-based GDL is widely prefered because (i) procures high gas permeability (ii) has good electronic conductivity (iii) it is stable in acid environment and (iv) is elastic on compression [8]. Unfortunately, carbon is lost from the system through oxidation leading to important losses of carbon over short period of time. The stability of carbon-based GDL affects the loss of platinum surface area following both platinum particle sintering and it releases from carbon based-GDL [9]. To address the corrosion problem in GDL, some alternative materials rather than the traditional carbon-based materials in PEM fuel cells should be explored. For these nancarbon materials for fuel cell GDL, some basic requirements should be met such as high surface area, specific dispersion of catalytic metals, high corrosion resistance under both dry and humid air conditions, low solubility in acidic media, high electrochemical stability under operating conditions and high electrical conductivity. Besides, the noncarbon materials should have a strong physicochemical and electronic interaction with catalysts to enhance their catalytic activity and durability [10].

In this overview, we discuss the performance, potential and issues associated with use of various nano-sized carbon or non-carbon based GDL which are widely investigated for anode and cathode in PEM fuel cell.

2. Characteristics of GDL

The perfromance of PEM fuel cell is significantly influenced by the structure and morphology of the GDL. As the membrane needs moisture content for ionic conduction, the reactant gases are humidified during the PEM fuel cell operation. However, when the rate and degree of the electrochemical reactions are high at high current densities, excessive amount of water is produced which while effusing of the cell through the GDL, fills the pores of the electrodes with water and thereby limiting the reactant transport to the active catalysts layer. To enhance the performance of PEM fuel cell, it is critical to balance the features of the gas flow characteristics in the GDL. New strategies are recently emerging to design and improve aim-built GDL for operating conditions of fuel cells. This is succeeded though materials and methods for optimum hydrophobic/hydrophilic properties pore size and its distribution, and also electronic conducting network [11, 12].

2.1. Hydrophobicity/hydrophilicity

The hydrophobicity of the GDL should be high to remove water to the flow area effectively and avoid it from building up at the cathode catalyst layer, hence blocking the reactant access to the catalyst. On the other hand, the hydrophilicity of the GDL should be high as to lead to membrane

drying, which would decrease the proton conductivity of the membrane.

2.2. Porosity

The presence of small pores can result in a greater resistance to mass transfer of the reactant gases to the catalyst layer. If pores are too large, the membrane may dehydrate due to excessive water loss. Therefore, the pore size and pore radius distribution are two significant mechanical properties that control fuel cell performance.

2.3. Electrical conductivity

The GDL must be able to conduct electrons generated from the hydrogen to the current collectors. Electrical conductivity of the GDL should be as high as possible to minimize Ohmic losses effectively conducting electrons between the catalyst layer and the current collectors via the bipolar plate.

2.4. Thermal conductivity

Thermal conductivity of the GDL should be high to effectually remove the heat from the membrane electrode assembly (MEA), where it is produced, to the bipolar plates, where cooling is available.

2.5. Mechanical supporting

The GDL must procure robust mechanical support to preserve the membrane and catalyst layers from possible damage during assembly, while protecting good interficial contact among the MEA layers and to prevent its deflection in the flow area channels during cell compression.

3. Materials for GDL

3.1. Nanostructured carbon-based GDLs

Carbon blacks (CB) are the most widely used supports for catalyst layers for fuel cells in commercial applications (especially Vulcan XC-72). CB comprises of near-spherical particles of graphite, < 50 nm in diameter. These merge into particle aggregates of around 250 nm in diameter. The high surface area (~250 m²g⁻¹ for Vulcan XC-72), low cost and easy availability of CBs help decrease the overall cost of the fuel cell [13].

Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) are 2D nanostructures, typically tubes occured by rolled up single sheets of hexagonally regulated carbon atoms. They may be single walled (SWCNT) or multi walled (MWCNT). MWCNTs have been found to be more electronically conductive while SWCNTs procure larger surface areas. CNTs are the best known and the most commonly scouted carbon-based nanostructures for GDL application in fuel cells. For example, Tang et al. nanosized Pt nanodots with 2-3 nm in diameter as catalyst on CNT fabricated in-situ on carbon paper. The combined GDL and catalyst layer exhibited a high maximum power density of 595 mW cm⁻² using a catalyst loading of 0.04 mg cm⁻² Pt on the cathode. This value was substantially higher than the Pt/Vulcan XC 72R-based electrode (435 mW cm⁻²) with same Pt loadings [14].

At another example, He et al. synthesised Pt nanoparticles on polyaniline (PANI) functionalized MWCNTs. Here, they used PANI as a bridge between Pt nanoparticles and CNTs. Pt/MWCNT electrodes exhibited high electroactivity and excellent electrochemical stability when compared with commercial CB GDLs [15].

Carbon nanofibers (CNF) have recently attracted interest as catalytic support in GDL mostly due to their negligible micro-porous and crystalline structure, supplying high electrical conductivity with low cost compared to similar nanostructures like CNTs. Padmavathi et al. fabricated electrospun CNF (e-CNF) as supporting material for Pt catalyst and investigated the effect of the functionalization on e-CNF (fe-CNF) samples by using mixtures of conc. H₂SO₄ an HNO₃ solutions. Managing to comparison with commercial Pt/C, 20 wt% Pt loading realized on fe-CNFs. The maximum open circuit potential (OCP) and power density was achieved 0.863 V and 294.7 mW cm⁻² compared to commercial Pt/C electrocatalyst (0.792 V and 205.2 mW cm⁻²), respectively [16]. At the other study, Hezarjaribi et al. investigated Pani/CNF electrospun nanofibers as both catalyst supports and modification of GDL to improve their properties. Avarage nanofibers diameter was determined as 100 nm. The cyclic voltammetry (CV) results proved that modified GDL were able to improve higher current density (15 mA cm⁻²) compared with commercial Pt/C (10 mA cm⁻²) [17].

3.2. Nanostructured non-carbon based GDLs

The usage of nanostructured carbon materials have certainly enhanced the performance of the catalyst support used for GDL in PEM fuel cell as they display strong effect on the catalyst durability and behaviour. Unfortunately, carbon corrosion is still the most important problem for these systems. Therefore, there is immediate necessity to investigate non-carbon supports to overcome these issues.

3.2.1. Inorganic metal oxides-based GDLs

Titanium oxide or titania materials are potential alternative as catalyst support in fuel cells due to their excellent properties such as high corrosion resistance, high electrochemical stability, cost-effective, non-toxic and easily available. In this direction, Fang et al. have prepared the GDL by coating titanium on an anode side by direct current sputtering to improve durability and performance of PEM fuel cell. The MEA with titanium-coated GDL, with 10 min sputtering time, showed the best performance at 25 °C with corresponding power densities 58.26% higher than that of MEA without titanium coating [18]. At the other work, an oriented ultrathin catalyst layer (UTCL) has been fabricated based on high electrical conductivity TiO₂ nanotubes (TNTs) for fuel cell application by Zhang et al. The prepared oriented UTCL exhibited a maximum power density of 206 and 305 mW cm⁻² with ultralow Pt looading of 24.8 and 50.1 μ g cm⁻², respectively [19].

The titanium-oxygen system involves sub-stoichiometric composition of the general formula Ti_nO_{2n-1} (magneli phases), where n is a number between 4 and 10. Among the distinct oxides, Ti_4O_7 displays the high electrical conductivity and oxidation-resistance properties that would be a possible candidate for GDL material in fuel cells. To this end, Yao et al. reported synthesis of fiber-like nanostructured Ti_4O_7 (NS- Ti_4O_7), which has the specific surface area of 26 m²/g, to

use as fuel cell catalyst support in oxygen reduction catalysis. The prepared $Pt/NS-Ti_4O_7$ catalysts displayed superior durability as well as enhanced Pt mass activity in comparison to commercial Pt/XC-72 [20].

Tungsten oxides (WO_x) exhibit significant proton transfer because of the formation of tungsten trioxide hydrates, which is an charming feature in usage for fuel cell catalyst supports. Rajeswari et al. synthesized WO₃ nanorods, which dimensions varied in the ranges of 130-480 nm and 18-56 nm of lenght and width, respectively. Pt nanoparticles (4-6 nm) were supported on WO₃ nanorods (20 wt% Pt). The activities of Pt/ WO₃ nanorods was approximately six times higher than that of Pt/ WO₃ bulk, clearly specified that the nanostructure of the catalytic support plays an main role [21].

Zirconia (ZrO₂), when modified with anions such as sulfate, forms a highly acidic or super-acidic catalyst that displays superior catalytic activity. Moreover, considering its high hydrophilicity, high proton conductivity, the S-ZrO₂ might enhance the cell performance. On this basis, Suzuki et al. examined sulphate zirconia as Pt support for use in PEM fuel cell. The particle size of the S-ZrO₂ was approximately 50-100 nm. Pt was deposited on S-ZrO₂ nanoparticles by using ultrasonic spray pyrolysis. In the absence of Nafion ionomer, the cell performance of Pt/ S-ZrO₂ cathode was higher than that of Pt/C [22].

Silicon dioxide (SiO₂) or silica is well known for its hardness and exists in various crystalline as well as amorphous forms. The use of silica as support material for Pt at the cathode electrode in fuel cell has been investigated by Seger et al. [23]. The avarage size of SiO₂ core was found as 4 nm. The as-prepared SiO₂-Pt core-shell particle structure was examied as cathode catalyst in MEA. The authors compared the performance of Pt/SiO₂ to that of commercial E-TEK. The best power densities were reported for the sample with Pt: SiO₂ ratio of 2:1. Similar ohmic losses were surveyed in current interrupt (CI) tests for both Pt/SiO₂ and E-TEK samples.

3.2.2. Carbides

Carbides are compounds comprised of carbon and a less electro-negative element. Carbides are expected to be used as catalyst supports, which might promote catalytic activity through synergistic effects. More recently, the usage of tungsten carbides as support in GDL for low temperature fuel cells has accepted considerable attention. Zhang et al. compared the electrochemical activity and stability of W_xC_y supported Pt with that of carbon supported Pt in PEM fuel cell. Electrochemically active surface area (ESA) loss of Pt/ W_xC_y during the stability test in 0.5 M H2SO4 at several potentials was much lower that Pt/C. Before stability test, the performance of Pt/C in PEM fuel cell was better than Pt/ W_xC_y . However, after stability test, the single cell prepared with the Pt/ W_xC_y catalyst exhibited a better performance than the cell with Pt/C, denotive of a high oxidation resistance of the Pt/ W_xC_y catalyst [24].

Silicon carbide (SiC) has been proposed to be an interesting possible catalyst support due to its high-temperature stability, hardness and chemical inertness properties. Silicon carbide is low electrically conductive material, therefore in order to furher enhance electronic conduction in the fabrication of GDL, a carbon black should be also used with Pt/SiC. Rao et al. attempted to prepare a nano SiC-supported Pt nanoparticle catalyst. Their electrochemical measurements

specified that the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) activity and degradation of performance of the Pt/SiC catalyst were similar to that of Pt/C (E-TEK) catalyst [25].

3.2.3. Electronically conducting polymers (ECPs)

Electronically conducting polymers (ECPs) are significant materials because of their unique chemical, optical, mechanical and electronic features, easy preparation and practicable application in electrochemical energy conversion.

Among ECPs, polyaniline (PANI) is a charming material to be used as catalyst support because of its high electronic conductivity in its partially oxidized state. Chen et al. [26] and Liu et al. [27] have investigated a PANI nanofiber-supported Pt catalyst and a PANI nanowire-supported Pt catalyst, respectively. They found that nanostructured PANI-supported Pt could improved electrochemical active surface area and enhanced catalytic activity when compared to bulk Pt electrodes. According to TEM and XRD analysis, the supported Pt nanoparticles had an avarage particle size of 1.5-5 nm. In order to improve mechanical properties and electrochemical stability of Pt/PANI electrode, Huang et al. prepared a Pt/PANI-PSS (poly(styrene sulfonic acid)) catalyst on GDL for fuel cell. The Pt/PANI-PSS composite electrode displayed a much higher electrocatalytic activity than Pt/PANI [28]. At the other work, Zamani et al. prepared one-dimensional nanofibers by electrospinning an iron–polyaniline/polyacrylonitrile (Fe–PANI-PAN) metal-polymer blend, followed by subsequent heat treatment. The addition of 10 wt. % PANI to the electrospinning mixture provides 100 and 70 mV improvements to the ORR onset potential and half-wave potential, respectively. The high activity is attributed to the porous structure of the nanofibers, combined with increased nitrogen contents provided by the PANI incorporation [29].

Polypyrrole (PPY) with the high electrical conductivity is a feasible catalyst support for PEM fuel cell applications. Nanostructured PPY materials have also attracted special attention, due to their large surface area, excellent charge transport features and high electronic conductivity. For example, Li and Lin worked the electrocatalytic activity of PPY nanowire/Pt nanocluster composites on a glassy carbon electrode toward the ORR using CV method. This PPY-supported Pt catalyst on the electrode displayed large surface area and higher electrocatalytic activity for the ORR than that for pure Pt modified electrode [30].

Conclusions

The GDL is a fundemental component of PEM fuel cells. The preference of materials for GDL will depend on the essential multi-functionality of its structure. Nanostructuring (reducing of dimensions) and nano-architecturing (designing nanometer-scale layers) are key points in the improvement of high performance GDL for PEM fuel cells. Development on nanosized materials is vital to overcome the major commercialization barrires of durability and cost.

For nearly two decades, the GDL evidenced great influence on the stability and performance of PEM fuel cells. The recent researches and developments of nanostructured gas diffusion layer materials exhibiting promising performance were overviewed. Various nanomaterials and attempts used for novel GDL development were summarized in the present work.

Future Prospects

In order to meet some of nanostructured material requirements for new GDL development, the following research area can be recommended:

- Developing novel synthesis methods to modify and originate new nano-materials, which have improved electronic conductivity, insolubility, electrochemical and thermal stabilities, as well as high surface area. For instance, material doping strategies have been tried to be an effective way to enhance conductivity, solubility, and electrochemical and thermal stabilities. Modifying the composition of nano-materials for GDL such as the ratio of components can also develop the GDL's properties and make them feasible GDL materials. Regarding support surface area improvement, synthesis of nanostructured material particles such as nanotubes, nanospheres, nanorods, nanofibers, and nanohiearchial structures should be an effective way to achieve high surface areas and improve the catalytic activity.
- Developing catalyst deposition strategies to make a uniform dispersion of nano-sized Pt or Pt alloy particles on the GDL, and a strong synergistic interaction between the catalyst and GDL structure. This strategy could produce positive electronic and chemical interactions between the catalyst particle and the GDL. Interaction improvement of metals like nano-sized Pt or Pt alloy catalysts with nanostructured noncarbon supports for GDL through various experimental approaches would be an interesting research area in the effort to improve both the stability and the activity of noncarbon-supported Pt-based catalysts in PEM fuel cell applications. The research objectives would be (i) enhancing the electronic conductivity of the GDL, (ii) increasing the surface area of the GDL, (iii) improving the physicochemical stability of the GDL, and (iv) developing catalysts with a highly uniform distribution of nano-sized Pt or Pt alloy.
- Establishing a fundamental understanding of the nanostructured carbon or noncarbon support for GDL through both experimental and modeling approaches, for instance, exploration of morphology effects of nanostructured carbon or noncarbon-supported catalyst on both the catalytic activity and the stability, as well as the interaction between the catalyst and support particles on the GDL for the oxygen reduction reaction. Theoretical modeling such as quantum chemical calculation should supply some guidance for the down-selection of new supports and their supported catalyst designs.
- Optimizing fuel cell design and operation to prevent the catalyst difficulties and encourage the advantages of the nano-sized catalyst material. As mentioned previously, it will be extremely difficult to have a catalyst material that can meet all requirements.

References

[1] Wang Y, Chen KS, Mishler J, Chan Cho S, Adroher XC. A review of polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cells: Technology, applications, and needs on fundamental research. Applied Energy 2011; 88: 981–1007.

[2] Kirubakaran A, Jain S, Nema RK, A review on fuel cell technologies and power electronic interface. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 2009; 13: 2430–2440.

[3] Dong Z. Kennedy SJ, Wu Y, Review: Electrospinning materials for energy-related applications and devices. Journal of Power Sources 2011; 196: 4886–4904.

[4] Cindrella L, Kannan AM, Ahmad R, Thommes M, Surface modification of gas diffusion layers by inorganic nanomaterials for performance enhancement of proton exchange membrane fuel cells at low RH conditions. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy 2009; 34: 6377–6383.

[5] Kannan AM and Munukutla L, Carbonnano-chain and carbon nano-fibers based gas diffusion Layers for proton exchange membrane fuel cells. Journal of Power Sources 2007; 167: 330–335.

[6] Cindrella L, Kannan AM, Lin JF, Saminathan K, Ho Y, Lin CW, Wertz J, Gas diffusion layer for proton exchange membrane fuel cells- A review. Journal of Power Sources 2009; 194: 146-160.

[7] Salernitano E, Giorgi L, Makris ThD, Direct growth of carbon nanofibers on carbonbased substrates as integrated gas diffusion and catalyst layer for polymer electrolyte fuel cells. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy 2014; 39: 15005-15016.

[8] Park S, Lee J-W, Popov BN, A review of gas diffusion layer in PEM fuel cells: Materials and designs. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy 2012; 37: 5850-5865.

[9] Antolini E, Gonzalez ER, Ceramic materials as supports for low-temperature fuel cell catalysts. Solid State Ionics 2009; 180: 746-763.

[10] Wang YJ, Wilkinson DP, Zhang J, Noncarbon support materials for polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cell electrocatalysts. Chemical Reviews 2011; 111: 7625-7651.

[11] Jordan LR, Shukla AK, Behrsing T, Avery NR, Muddle BC, Forsyth M. Effect of diffusionlayer morphology on the performance of polymer electrolyte fuel cells operating at atmospheric pressure. Journal of Applied Electrochemistry 2000; 30: 641–6.

[12] Williams MV, Kunz HR, Fenton JM. Operation of Nafion based PEM fuel cells with no external humidification: influence of operating conditions and gas diffusion layers. Journal of Power Sources 2004; 135: 122–34.

[13] Sharma S, Pollet BG, Support materials for PEMFC and DMFC electrocatalysts—A review Journal of Power Sources 2012; 208: 96-119.

[14] Tang Z, Poh CK, Lee KK, Tian Z, Chua DHC, Lin J, Enhanced catalytic properties from platinum nanodots covered carbon nanotubes for proton-exchange membrane fuel cells. Journal of Power Sources 2010; 195: 155- 159.

[15] He D, Zeng C, Xu C, Cheng N, Li H, Mu S, Pan M, Polyaniline-functionalized carbon nanotube supported platinum catalysts. Langmuir 2011; 27: 5582-5588.

[16] Padmavathi R and Sangeetha D, Synthesis and characterization of electrospun carbonfiber

supported Pt catalyst for fuel cells. Electrochimica Acta 2013; 112: 1-13.

[17] Hezarjaribi M, Jahanshahi M, Rahimpour A, Yaldagard M, Gas diffusion electrode based on electrospun Pani/CNF nanofibers hybrid for proton exchange membrane fuel cells (PEMFC) applications. Applied Surface Science 2014; 295: 144–149.

[18] Fang SY, Teoh LG, Huang RH, Hsueh KL, Yang KH, Chao WK, Shieu FS, Enhancement of proton exchange membrane fuel cell performance by titanium-coated gas diffusion layer. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy 2014; 39: 21177-21184.

[19] Zhang C, Yu H, Fu L, Xiao Y, Gao Y, Li Y, Zeng Y, Jia J, Yi B, Shao Z, An Oriented Ultrathin Catalyst Layer Derived from High Conductive TiO₂ Nanotube for Polymer Electrolyte Membrane Fuel Cell. Electrochimica Acta 2015; 153: 361-369.

[20] Yao C, Li F, Li X, Xia D, Fiber-like nanostructured Ti₄O₇ used as durable fuel cell catalyst support in oxygen reduction catalysis. Journal of Materials Chemistry 2012; 22: 16560-16565.

[21] Rajeswari J, Viswanathan B, Varadarajan TK, Tungsten Trioxide Nanorods as Supports for Platinum in Methanol Oxidation. Materials Chemistry Physics 2007; 106: 168–174.

[22] Suzuki Y, Ishihara A, Mitsushima S, Kamiya N, Ota K, Sulfated-Zirconia as a Support of Pt Catalyst for Polymer Electrolyte Fuel Cells. Electrochemical and Solid-State Letters 2007; 10: B105-B107.

[23] Seger B, Kongkanand A, Vinodgopal K, Kamat PV, Platinium dispersed on silica nanoparticle as electrocatalyst for PEM fuel cell. Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry 2008; 621: 198-204.

[24] Zhang S, Zhu H, Yu H, Hou J, Yi B, Ming P, The Oxidation Resistance of Tungsten Carbide as Catalyst Support for Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells. Chinese Journal of Catalysis 2007; 28: 109-111.

[25] Venkateswara Rao C, Singh SK, Viswanathan B, Electrochemical performance of nano-SiC prepared in thermal plasma, Indian Journal of Chemistry 2008; 47A: 1619-1625.

[26] Chen Z, Xu L, Li W, Waje M, Yan Y, Polyaniline nanofibre supported platinum nanoelectrocatalysts for direct methanol fuel cells. Nanotechnology 2006; 17: 5254–5259.

[27] Liu FJ, Huang LM, Wen TC, Gopalan A, Large-area network of polyaniline nanowires supported platinium nanocatalysts for methanol oxidation. Synth Met 2007; 157: 651-658.

[28] Huang LM, Tang WR, Wen TC, Spatially electrodeposited platinum in polyaniline doped with poly(styrene sulfonic acid) for methanol oxidation. Journal of Power Sources 2007; 164: 519-526.

[29] Zamani P, Higgins D, Hassan F, Jiang G, Wu J, Abureden S, Chen Z, Electrospun Iron–Polyaniline–Polyacrylonitrile Derived Nanofibers as Non–Precious Oxygen Reduction Reaction Catalysts for PEM Fuel Cells. Electrochimica Acta 2014; 139:111–116.

[30] Li J, Lin XQ, A composite of polypyrrole nanowire platinum modified electrode for oxygen reduction and methanol oxidation reactions. Journal of the electrochemical society 2007; 154: B1074-B1079.